

2000J53C27, 58J05, 58J50

DIRAC EIGENVALUES FOR GENERIC METRICS ON THREE-MANIFOLDS

MATTIAS DAHL

ABSTRACT. We show that for generic Riemannian metrics on a closed spin manifold of dimension three the Dirac operator has only simple eigenvalues.

1. INTRODUCTION

The spectrum of the Dirac operator has been explicitly computed for quite a few compact spin manifolds, for example spherical space forms, flat manifolds, spheres with Berger metrics, see [8, 7, 2, 3, 12]. These examples exhibit high multiplicities of the eigenvalues, coming from the high degree of symmetry of the spaces, a phenomenon one would not expect for general Riemannian manifolds. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For a generic metric on a compact spin three-manifold the Dirac operator has only simple eigenvalues.*

The Dirac operator D (or D_g to show its dependence on the Riemannian metric) is a first order elliptic operator acting on sections of the spinor bundle $\Sigma M = \text{Spin}(M, g) \times_{\rho} \Sigma$. It is formally self-adjoint and on a compact manifold it has discrete real spectrum. Here $\text{Spin}(M, g) \rightarrow SO(M, g)$ is a spin structure on M and $\rho : \text{Spin}(n) \rightarrow \text{End}(\Sigma)$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}^{2^{[n/2]}}$, is the spinor representation. In dimensions $n \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$ the spinor representation is quaternionic; there is an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear endomorphism J of Σ with $J^2 = -1$, $Ji = -iJ$, which commutes with the action of $\text{Spin}(n)$. In particular in three dimensions the spinor bundle is a quaternionic line bundle and the eigenspaces E_{λ} of D are quaternionic vectorspaces. So in three dimensions an eigenvalue λ is simple if the quaternionic dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\lambda} = 1$. For the details of the constructions of spin geometry here omitted see [10].

For a compact spin manifold M denote by $R(M)$ the space of Riemannian metrics on M equipped with the C^1 -topology. Denote by $S(M)$ the subset consisting of Riemannian metrics for which all eigenvalues of the Dirac operator are simple. Recall that a subset of a topological space is called residual if it contains a countable intersection of open and dense sets. The precise theorem we will prove is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact spin manifold of dimension three. Then $S(M)$ is residual.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* [.

Key words and phrases. Spectrum of the Dirac operator, simple eigenvalues, generic metrics.

The author was supported by the Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education “STINT” and by the European Commission Network “Geometric Analysis”.

It is reasonable to conjecture that the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds in any dimension. The restriction to dimension three comes from using the simple approach of making conformal variations of the metric. Perhaps the techniques of [4, 11] can be used to extend the result to higher dimensions.

Remark 1.3. 1. The proof will show that in each conformal class the set of metrics for which all non-zero eigenvalues are simple is residual.
 2. The proof will also show that in any dimension the set of metrics for which $\dim E_\lambda \leq \text{rank } \Sigma M$ for all non-zero λ is residual. Only in dimension three is $\text{rank } \Sigma M = 1$.

2. CONFORMAL DEFORMATIONS AND DIRAC EIGENVALUES

Let M be an n -dimensional manifold with a Riemannian metric g and let f be a function on M . We are going to study the deformation of g given by $g^t = e^{2tf}g$, where t is a real parameter.

There is an isomorphism $\gamma_{tf} : SO(M, g) \rightarrow SO(M, g^t)$ given by

$$\gamma_{tf}(\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}) = \{e^{-tf}e_1, \dots, e^{-tf}e_n\}.$$

Composition with γ_{tf} gives that the spin structure $\text{Spin}(M, g) \rightarrow SO(M, g)$ for g works as a spin structure also for g^t ,

$$\text{Spin}(M, g) \longrightarrow SO(M, g) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{tf}} SO(M, g^t),$$

and the associated spinor bundle $\Sigma M = \text{Spin}(M, g) \times_\rho \Sigma$ is independent of t and f . Let D^t denote the Dirac operator acting on sections of ΣM defined using the metric g^t . Then D^t is related to $D = D^0$ by

$$D^t\varphi = e^{-tf} \left(D\varphi + \frac{n-1}{2}t \text{grad } f \cdot \varphi \right),$$

where the Clifford multiplication by the gradient of f is defined using the metric g , see for instance section 3.2.4. in [5]. Define the Hilbert space $L^2(\Sigma M, g^t)$ as the completion of the smooth sections of ΣM with respect to the inner product

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{g^t} = \int_M \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle d\mu_{g^t} = \int_M \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle e^{ntf} d\mu.$$

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem A.3 of [1], see also [9] and [6].

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of D with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_\lambda = p$. Then there exist real analytic functions $\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_p^t$ and curves of smooth spinor fields $\varphi_1^t, \dots, \varphi_p^t$ (defined for small t) such that*

- $D^t\varphi_i^t = \lambda_i^t\varphi_i^t, i = 1, \dots, p,$
- $\lambda_i^0 = \lambda, i = 1, \dots, p.$
- $\varphi_1^t, \dots, \varphi_p^t$ are real analytic as maps $t \mapsto \varphi_i^t \in L^2(\Sigma M, g),$
- $\varphi_1^t, \dots, \varphi_p^t$ are orthonormal in $L^2(\Sigma M, g^t),$

Remark 2.2. If the spinor representation is quaternionic $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be replaced by $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}$, in which case “orthonormal” should be interpreted as

$$(\varphi_i, \varphi_i)_g = 1, \quad (\varphi_i, J\varphi_i)_g = (\varphi_i, \varphi_j)_g = (\varphi_i, J\varphi_j)_g = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Suppose that $t \mapsto (\lambda^t, \varphi^t)$ is a one-parameter family of eigenspinors as given by Theorem 2.1, $D^t \varphi^t = \lambda^t \varphi^t$ and $\|\varphi^t\|_{g^t} = 1$ for small t . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^t &= (D^t \varphi^t, \varphi^t)_{g^t} \\ &= \int_M \langle e^{-tf} \left(D\varphi^t + \frac{n-1}{2} t \operatorname{grad} f \cdot \varphi^t \right), \varphi^t \rangle e^{ntf} d\mu \\ &= \int_M \left(\langle D\varphi^t, \varphi^t \rangle e^{(n-1)tf} + \frac{n-1}{2} t \langle \operatorname{grad} f \cdot \varphi^t, \varphi^t \rangle e^{(n-1)tf} \right) d\mu \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \int_M \langle D\varphi^t, \varphi^t \rangle e^{(n-1)tf} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since the second term is purely imaginary. Set $\lambda = \lambda^0$, $\varphi = \varphi^0$ and denote by prime the derivative with respect to t at $t = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= \operatorname{Re} \int_M \langle D\varphi', \varphi \rangle + \langle D\varphi, \varphi' \rangle + \langle D\varphi, \varphi \rangle (n-1)f d\mu \\ &= \lambda \int_M 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle + (n-1)f |\varphi|^2 d\mu \end{aligned}$$

and differentiating $\|\varphi^t\|_{g^t}^2 = 1$ at $t = 0$ we get

$$\int_M 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi', \varphi \rangle + n f |\varphi|^2 d\mu = 0$$

which together give

$$(1) \quad \lambda' = -\lambda \int_M f |\varphi|^2 d\mu.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let (M, g) be a three dimensional compact Riemannian spin manifold. The main technical point in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let λ be a non-zero eigenvalue of D_g with $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_\lambda = p > 1$. Then there is a conformal deformation of g for which E_λ splits into lower-dimensional eigenspaces.*

Proof. For each conformal deformation $g^t = e^{2tf} g$ Theorem 2.1 provides real analytic parametrizations $\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_p^t$ of eigenvalues such that $\lambda_i^0 = \lambda$, $i = 1, \dots, p$. If for some f, i and j we have $\lambda_i^t \neq \lambda_j^t$ for all $t \neq 0$ we are done. Assume for a contradiction that this is not the case, but instead that λ_i^t is independent of i, t and f . Then for a given f we can replace the eigenspinors φ_i^t from Theorem 2.1 by eigenspinors $\bar{\varphi}_i^t = \sum_{j=1}^p U_{ij} \varphi_j^t$ where U_{ij} is a (constant) unitary matrix, which have the same properties. We may thus assume that φ_1^0 and φ_2^0 are the same for all conformal deformations.

For fixed f and $p, q = 0, 1$ let

$$\alpha_{p,q}^t = 2^{-1/2}(\varphi_1^t + i^p J^q \varphi_2^t), \quad \beta_{p,q}^t = 2^{-1/2}(\varphi_1^t - i^p J^q \varphi_2^t).$$

Then

$$D\alpha_{p,q}^t = \lambda^t \alpha_{p,q}^t, \quad D\beta_{p,q}^t = \lambda^t \beta_{p,q}^t,$$

where $\lambda^t = \lambda_1^t = \lambda_2^t$. By (1) we have

$$\int_M f |\alpha_{p,q}^0|^2 d\mu = \lambda' = \int_M f |\beta_{p,q}^0|^2 d\mu.$$

Since by assumption this holds for all f and $\alpha_{p,q}^0$ and $\beta_{p,q}^0$ are independent of f we conclude that $|\alpha_{p,q}^0|^2 = |\beta_{p,q}^0|^2$ at each point. It follows that

$$|\varphi_1^0|^2 + |i^p J^q \varphi_2^0|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1^0, i^p J^q \varphi_2^0 \rangle = |\varphi_1^0|^2 + |i^p J^q \varphi_2^0|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1^0, i^p J^q \varphi_2^0 \rangle$$

so $\operatorname{Re} \langle \varphi_1^0, i^p J^q \varphi_2^0 \rangle = 0$ for $p, q = 0, 1$, and thus $\langle \varphi_1^0, \varphi_2^0 \rangle = \langle \varphi_1^0, J \varphi_2^0 \rangle = 0$ at each point. Since $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{H}} \Sigma M = 1$ one of φ_1^0 and φ_2^0 has to vanish on an open set, and by unique continuation vanish identically. This is a contradiction which proves the lemma. $\square$

Enumerate the non-zero eigenvalues of D_g as

$$\dots \leq \lambda_{-3} \leq \lambda_{-2} \leq \lambda_{-1} < 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$$

with repetitions according to quaternionic multiplicity. Let $S^k(M)$, $k \geq 1$, be the subset of $R(M)$ consisting of Riemannian metrics for which $\lambda_{\pm 1}, \lambda_{\pm 2}, \dots, \lambda_{\pm k}$ are all different.

Proposition 3.2. *The sets $S^k(M)$, $k \geq 1$, are open and dense in $R(M)$.*

Proof. The eigenvalues of D_g depend continuously on g , see Proposition 7.1 in [3]. It follows that the $S^k(M)$ are open.

We prove that the $S^k(M)$ are dense by induction, for $k = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Assume that $S^k(M)$ is dense in $R(M)$ and let U be an open set in $R(M)$. We need to show that $U \cap S^{k+1}(M)$ is non-empty. By assumption we can find a metric $g \in U \cap S^k(M)$. Consider first the positive eigenvalues of D_g . The first k are distinct, denote the multiplicity of λ_k by p so that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{k-1} < \lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_{k+p-1} < \lambda_{k+p} \leq \dots$$

If $p = 1$ we are done with the first step, so assume $p > 1$. Then by Lemma 3.1 there is a conformal deformation which decreases the multiplicity of λ_k . By choosing the deformation parameter t small enough we can guarantee to get a metric in $U \cap S^k(M)$ for which $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} E_{\lambda_k} < p$. Repeating this process we end up with a metric $\hat{g} \in U \cap S^k(M)$ for which λ_k has multiplicity 1.

The second step is to go through the same procedure with the negative eigenvalues of $D_{\hat{g}}$. When we do this we get a metric $\check{g}$ in $U \cap S^k(M)$ for which both λ_k and λ_{-k} have multiplicity 1. The metric $\check{g}$ is thus in $U \cap S^{k+1}(M)$ and we have proved that $S^{k+1}(M)$ is dense in $R(M)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $S^0(M)$ denote the set of metrics on M for which $\ker D = 0$. Then $S(M)$ contains the intersection $\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(M)$. By Theorem 1.2 in [11] we know that $S^0(M)$ is open and dense in $R(M)$ and together with Proposition 3.2 we conclude that $\cap_{i=0}^{\infty} S^i(M)$ is a residual set. $\square$

Proof of Remark 1.3. We know from Lemma 3.1 that we can split multiple eigenvalues within a conformal class. It follows that the intersection of $S^k(M)$ with a given conformal class is open and dense in the conformal class. This proves the first statement. For the second statement note that with the assumption $\dim E_\lambda > \text{rank } \Sigma M$ the conclusion of Lemma 3.1 holds in any dimension. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. S. Bando and H. Urakawa, *Generic properties of the eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **35** (1983), 155–172.
2. C. Bär, *The Dirac operator on space forms of positive curvature*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **48** (1996), 69–83.
3. ———, *Metrics with harmonic spinors*, Geom. Func. Anal. **6** (1996), 899–942.
4. C. Bär and M. Dahl, *Surgery and the spectrum of the Dirac operator*, Preprint, Universität Hamburg, 2001.
5. H. Baum, *Spin-Strukturen und Dirac-Operatoren über pseudoriemannschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, BSB B. G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1981.
6. J.-P. Bourguignon and P. Gauduchon, *Spineurs, opérateurs de Dirac et variations de métriques*, Comm. Math. Phys. **144** (1992), 581–599.
7. T. Friedrich, *Zur Abhängigkeit des Dirac-Operators von der Spin-Struktur*, Coll. Math. **48** (1984), 57–62.
8. N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. Math. **14** (1974), 1–55.
9. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132.
10. H. B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989.
11. S. Maier, *Generic metrics and connections on spin- and spin^c-manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **188** (1997), 407–437.
12. F. Pfäffle, *The Dirac spectrum of Bieberbach manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **35** (2000), 367–385.

UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, FB MATHEMATIK, BUNDESSTR. 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: mattias.dahl@math.uni-hamburg.de